



Some Bible Knowledge is Critical.

Most of the critical scriptural "dots" really DO "connect" ...for those who learn how to study God's written word. But most believers learn only what to study ...to confirm denominational Doctrines. This series can help.

- Series Intro
- 1 —Noah's Flood
- 2 —After Noah's Flood
- 3 —About the Dinosaurs...
- 4 —Who're the Jews?
- 5 —The Jewish Priesthood
- 6 —Eden's Real Story
- 7 —Who're the "Elect" and Why Were They "Elected?"



Some of the Bible facts we'll expose in this article are very different from the teaching of the vast majority of today's churches. Traditional teaching has "evolved" substantially since Jesus and the apostles ministered here. Because these truths may, for some, be really hard pills to swallow, we have decided to present them just one droplet (💧) at a time. But even with that, not every reader will have "ears to hear" and understand. I wish we knew how to resolve that, but we don't. May God have mercy. The good news is that some of the good people who won't "get it" on this first reading will come to understand it later...and before it's too late.

- > "As also in all [his] epistles, speaking in them of these things; in which are some things hard to be understood, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as [they do] also the other scriptures, unto their own destruction." —2 Peter 3:16

💧 **God's Creation of Mankind** ("*adm*," the transliterated generic word for mankind) —on Day 6

More than likely, you've been taught that God created just one human being on Day 6. The scriptures actually make it clear that He created at least two people (male and female) on Day 6. Lots of credible Bible scholars are convinced that He created all the primary races of people, then—at least one breeding pair for each race.

You may've heard that the first people were vegans or vegetarians but, per Genesis 1:29,30, they ate both plants and animal flesh. It will be important for you to understand that these people were not farmers, as some people would have you believe: They were hunter-gathers. Here are two scriptures to confirm that the Day-6 creation was NOT of just one man:

- > "So God created man[^] in his [own] image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them [*plural*]." —Genesis 1:27
 - ^ Originally transliterated "adm." Defined as "...mankind, human being(s)...;" i.e.: "adam" (small "a"). It's the generic word for mankind—not a man's name or even a proper noun.
- > "But from the beginning of the creation God made them male and female." —Jesus, at Mark 10:6

At least one group of these Day 6 people had established a thriving civilization in the vicinity of the land of Nod (to the East of Eden) by the time Cain got there after God kicked him out of Eden. That's where Cain found his wives and then fathered a long, long line of descendants—the Kenites. You read about some of them in the last article. You may recall that they had thoroughly infiltrated the Jewish priesthood by the time of Jesus and the apostles.

💧 **God's Creation of the man, "Adam"** ("*ath-e-adm*," the transliterated proper name of the man, from whose pure bloodline Christ, our Savior, would descend) —on Day 8 or at some point later

Here's the Genesis account of that event:

- > "...the LORD God had not caused it to rain upon the earth, and [**there was**] **not a man to till the ground.**" —Genesis 2:5
- > "And the LORD God formed man[^] [of] the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man[^] became a living soul."—Genesis 2:7
 - ^ Clearly, God created "THE man, Adam" (originally transliterated, "ath-e-adm"), a *single* male human, at some point after his day of rest. That man's assignment was NOT to generally manage the planet or to hunt and gather, but to take care of a specific garden.
 - ^ Keep in mind that the people – i.e.: the generic "adm" – that God created on Day 6 were clearly not alone, but that THE man, "ath-e-adm," was initially alone.

Here's a bonus question worth pondering: "Did God make Eve from one of Adam's rib bones, or from a chromosome rib of Adam's DNA...?"

The man, "Adam," was the first farmer. Cain, may've learned that skill from him. It was probably one of the skills that yielded success for him among the Day-6 people at Nod. The scriptures that list Cain's initial "so-and-so" begat "so-and-so" record are at Genesis 4:17-24. Interestingly, Cain and his offspring are NOT listed with the man, Adam's, "so-and-so" begat "so-and-so" story at Genesis 5:3-32. Why? You'll discover the answer to that question as you continue reading.

💧 That Forbidden Fruit!

Might an *apple* have caused Adam and Eve to notice their, umm...differences? There were trees in Eden's garden, possibly even an apple tree or two. But let's find out what kind of tree it might've been whose *fruit* Eve got so excited about that she could hardly wait for Adam to try it, too. And why did God forbid it? Here's a good place for us to start:

- > "And out of the ground made the LORD God to grow every tree that is pleasant to the sight, and good for food; **the tree of life also** in the midst of the garden, **and the tree of knowledge of good and evil.**"—Genesis 2:9

Although, when reading this verse casually, most people might miss it, God made a clear distinction between literal trees – those that grow out of the ground – and two figurative "trees;" i.e.: the tree of life also and the tree of knowledge of good and evil. To confirm that those are figurative trees, see Revelation 22:2. There, you'll see that the tree of life will also be present in The New Jerusalem, of Revelation 21.

Ezekiel knew the differences between the literal trees and the two figurative trees at Eden, too. He recorded this about the *tree* of knowledge of good and evil in that garden:

- > "The cedars in the garden of God could not hide **him**: the fir trees were not like **his** boughs, and the chesnut trees were not like **his** branches; nor any tree in the garden of God was like unto **him** in **his** beauty. I have made **him** fair by the multitude of **his** branches: so that all the trees of Eden, that [were] in the garden of God, envied **him**...To whom art thou thus like in glory and in greatness among the trees of Eden? Yet shalt thou be brought down with the trees of Eden unto the nether parts of the earth..." —Ezekiel 31:8,9,18

Yes, God uses familiar symbols from nature to help us understand things that would otherwise be really hard to understand. In this example of the Bible's use of trees to symbolize men, Gideon's son, Jotham, rebuked the Shechemites for making Abimelech their king:

- > "The trees went forth [on a time] to anoint a king over them; and they said unto the olive tree, Reign thou over us. But the olive tree said unto them, Should I leave my fatness, wherewith by me they honour God and man, and go to be promoted over the trees? And the trees said to the fig tree, Come thou, [and] reign over us. But the fig tree said unto them, Should I forsake my sweetness, and my good fruit, and go to be promoted over the trees? Then said the trees unto the vine, Come thou, [and] reign over us. And the vine said unto them, Should I leave my wine, which cheereth God and man, and go to be promoted over the trees? Then said all the trees unto the bramble, Come thou, [and] reign over us. And the bramble said unto the trees, If in truth ye anoint me king over you, [then] come [and] put your trust in my shadow: and if not, let fire come out of the bramble, and devour the cedars of Lebanon."—Judges 9:8-15

The subject of this verse is a eunuch. (That's a castrated – or neutered – man.):

- > "... neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I [am] a dry [tree]." —Isaiah 56:3

Isaiah wrote this about the descendants of Jacob/Israel:

- > "To appoint unto them that mourn in Zion, to give unto them beauty for ashes, the oil of joy for mourning, the garment of praise for the spirit of heaviness; that they might be called [trees] of righteousness, the planting of the LORD, that he might be glorified." —Isaiah 61:3

This was addressed to the descendants of Judah who lived at Jerusalem:

- > "The LORD called thy name, A green olive tree, fair, [and] of goodly fruit: with the noise of a great tumult he hath kindled fire upon it, and the branches of it are broken. But I [was] like a lamb [or] an ox [that] is brought to the slaughter; and I knew not that they had devised devices against me, [saying], Let us destroy the tree with the fruit thereof, and let us cut him off from the land of the living, that his name may be no more remembered." —Jeremiah 11:16...19

Here's an interesting Old Testament conversation about "the two witnesses" of Revelation 11:

- > "Then answered I, and said unto him, What [are] these two olive trees upon the right [side] of the candlestick and upon the left [side] thereof? And I answered again, and said unto him, What [be these] two olive branches which through the two golden pipes empty the golden [oil] out of themselves?" —Zechariah 4:11-14 (See Revelation 11:3,4, immediately following this.)

And here's the companion New Testament dialog about that:

- > "And I will give [power] unto my two witnesses, and they shall prophesy a thousand two hundred [and] threescore days, clothed in sackcloth. These are the two olive trees, and the two candlesticks standing before the God of the earth." —Revelation 11:3,4 (See Zechariah 4:11-14, which immediately precedes this.)

Want to know how to know precisely when Jesus will split the eastern skies to gather the saints unto Himself? Revelation 11 is where to learn that. There is NO scripture and no collection of scriptures that support the wildly popular notion that Jesus will come at any point before those events.

Paul wrote this to the Gentiles at Rome:

- > "And if some of the branches be broken off, and thou, being a wild olive tree, wert grafted in among them, and with them partakest of the root and fatness of the olive tree; Boast not against the branches. But if thou boast, thou bearest not the root, but the root thee Thou wilt say then, The branches were broken off, that I might be grafted in. Well; because of unbelief they were broken off, and thou standest by faith. Be not highminded, but fear: For if God spared not the natural branches, [take heed] lest he also spare not thee. Behold therefore the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell, severity; but toward thee, goodness, if thou continue in [his] goodness: otherwise thou also shalt be cut off. And they also, if they abide not still in unbelief, shall be grafted in: for God is able to graff them in again." —Romans 11:17-23

Finally, here's another good example of God's use of symbolism from our natural environment:

- > "And he saith unto me, The **waters** which thou sawest, where the whore sitteth, are **peoples**, and **multitudes**, and **nations**, and **tongues**." —Revelation 17:15

Now that you have Bible confirmation that the tree of knowledge of good and evil was NOT a literal tree, let's continue with God's instructions to the man, Adam:

- > "And the LORD God commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree[^] of the knowledge of good and evil,[^] thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die." —Genesis 2:16,17

Here, God gives us another indication that the tree of knowledge of good and evil was not a literal tree: The man, Adam, had permission to eat of every tree in the garden, but "every tree" did NOT include the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

- [^] You'll also find it interesting to note that in The Online Interlinear Bible (a literal Hebrew-to-English translation) that verse goes like this: "and from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil not you shall eat from **HIM** that in day of to eat of you from **HIM** to die you shall die." —www.scripture4all.org/OnlineInterlinear/Hebrew_Index.htm

💧 A Time of Enlightenment!

There is this about Adam and Eve before eating of that *fruit*:

- > "And they were both naked, the man and his wife, and were not ashamed." —Genesis 2:25

...and this about them after they ate of that *fruit*:

- > "And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they [were] naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons." —Genesis 3:7

The burning question, then, is WHY were Adam and Eve suddenly excited about (and simultaneously embarrassed by) their fully exposed, uhhh...*differences*? Could an apple have caused that? If so, the apple must be the most effective aphrodisiac ever! (For those who may not already know, an aphrodisiac is like a love potion.) Of such is the knowledge of good and evil, you know. Forbidden fruit.

Both the Old and New Testaments use the word, "**beguiled**," to describe those embarrassing events in the shadows of Eden's garden:

- > "And the LORD God said unto the woman, What [is] this [that] thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent **beguiled**^{H-5377} me, and I did eat." —Genesis 3:13

H-5377 at Genesis 3:13 (The quoted text below is from Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible):

—*nâsha'*, "to lead astray: i.e.: (mentally) to delude, or (morally) to seduce:—beguile, deceive, X greatly, X utterly."
< to totally/greatly/utterly/morally seduce

- > "But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent **beguiled**^{G-1818} Eve through his subtilty, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ." —Paul, at 2 Corinthians 11:3

G-1818 at 2 Corinthians 11:3 (The quoted text, here, is also from Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible):
—*ëxapataō*, "to *seduce wholly*: —beguile, deceive." < to wholly seduce

For those who'll remain objective as they continue to study, it will become more and more apparent that Eden's tree of life was Jesus and that the tree of knowledge of good and evil (and that Serpent) was Satan. So what was the *fruit* that Eve

was so anxious for Adam to experience, too? Perhaps you have now concluded (as have we and many, many others) that Cain was the man, Adam's, stepson. THAT is why Cain and his offspring aren't listed with Adam's descendants at Genesis 5:3-32.

💧 Why Didn't Adam & Eve DIE After Eating of That Amazing Fruit? —Was God just kidding?

They DID die that day:

- > "For a thousand years in thy sight are but as yesterday when it is past, and as a watch in the night." —Psalm 90:4
- > "But, beloved, be not ignorant of this one thing, that one day [is] with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day." —2 Peter 3:8

Adam lived 930 years. (See Genesis 5:5.) His ninth-generation descendant, Methuselah, lived longer than any other man, before or since. He died at the ripe age of 969—still less than a 1,000-year Biblical day. (Genesis 5:27)

💧 Why Did God Reject Cain's Sacrifice?

I wrestled with that question for many years...even as a fully-mature adult. I even asked several of my pastors. None of their answers made sense to me. One of them even told me it was because God likes steak a lot more than He likes salad! He said that Abel presented one of the best of the animals—one that smelled and tasted really good when it was grilled over an open-pit fire. Most of the others seemed to think it was because Abel was sincere and Cain was not.

I have concluded this: God would've kicked Cain out of the Garden of Eden even if he *hadn't* murdered his brother. Why? For the exact same reason that Noah forced his illegitimate stepson-grandson to get out'a Dodge! And that, alone, was why God rejected Cain's sacrifice.

💧 What Role Will "The Sons of God" And Their Descendants Play In Our Future?

Many who read, here, that Eve had sex with Satan before she ever had sex with Adam will quickly dismiss it as false teaching. Later, though, when Satan has successfully masqueraded as Jesus Christ for awhile [2 Thessalonians 2:3,4] they'll hear it again. At that moment, they'll remember having read it here and rejected it. How and when will they hear it again? That'll be when members of God's elect, via the Holy Ghost, will publish this Gospel truth to all nations...for the express purpose of discrediting Satan's evil masquerade, to the excruciating dismay of all those who will have fallen for it[^]:

- ^ "...because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they all might be damned who believed not the truth..." —2 Thessalonians 2:10-12
- > "But take heed to yourselves: for they shall deliver you up to councils; and in the synagogues ye shall be beaten: and ye shall be brought before rulers and kings for my sake, for a testimony against them. And the gospel must first be published among all nations. But when they shall lead [you], and deliver you up, take no thought beforehand what ye shall speak, neither do ye premeditate: but whatsoever shall be given you in that hour, that speak ye: for it is not ye that speak, but the Holy Ghost." —Mark 13:9-11
- > "But before all these, they shall lay their hands on you, and persecute [you], delivering [you] up to the synagogues, and into prisons, being brought before kings and rulers for my name's sake. And it shall turn to you for a testimony. Settle [it] therefore in your hearts, not to meditate before what ye shall answer: For I will give you a mouth and wisdom, which all your adversaries shall not be able to gainsay nor resist." —Luke 21:12-15

Here, Jesus was referring to specific future point in time. It was part of His answer to the disciples' question about what to expect just before His return and the end of this Second Earth Age.

💧 Rapture?

Some of today's purely malicious Bible teachers claim that the great "falling away" of 2 Thessalonians 2:3 speaks of the rapture of the church. Here's Strong's verbatim G-646 entry for the KJV, *falling away*: "*apōstasia*, ap-os-tas-ee'-ah; fem. Of the same as 647; defection from truth (prop. the state) ['apostasy']: —falling away, forsake."

Here's Strong's verbatim G-647 entry: "*apōstasiōn*, ap-os-tas'-ee-on; neut. Of a (presumed) adj. From a der. of 868; prop. something separative, i.e. (spec) divorce: —(writing of) divorcement."

Here are Strong's G-868 definitions: "resist," "revolt," "desist," "desert," "withdraw" and "refrain." Do you see anything there that might even remotely IMPLY a meaning of "physical DEPARTURE"...as in a "Rapture" departure? It's evil SPIN. No wonder, though, since the origin of the rapture theories was Occult magic, in 1830. (More on that in a future paper.)

"MY PEOPLE ARE DESTROYED FOR LACK OF [*righteousness?*] [*sincerity?*] [*worship?*] [*hyperspirituality?*] [*prayer?*] [*holiness?*] [*faith?*] [*regular church attendance?*] [*believing 'what 'we' believe?'*] [*getting 'truly 'saved?'*] KNOWLEDGE." —Hosea 4:6

Follow this link to access the web site:
www.DYPK.org

So! there's to be a great "falling away" before Christ appears? Can unbelievers fall away? Then, it'll have to be misinformed and uninformed church people who're expecting Jesus to rapture them out'a here any day, now. They'll be easy prey for Satan's masquerade (More on that, too, later.)

- > "But ye, brethren, are not in darkness, that that day should overtake you as a thief." —Paul, at 1 Thessalonians 5:4
- > Again, Jesus will return at "the last trump" of 1 Corinthians 15:51,52, "the trump of God" at 1 Thessalonians 4:16 and the trumpet of the seventh angel of Revelation: "And the seventh angel sounded; and there were great voices in heaven, saying, The kingdoms of this world are become [the kingdoms] of our Lord, and of his Christ; and he shall reign for ever and ever." —Revelation 11:15
- > "...Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! for the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time."—Revelation 12:12
 - ^ "And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened." —Jesus, at Matthew 24:22
 - ^ "And except that the Lord had shortened those days, no flesh should be saved: but for the elect's sake, whom he hath chosen, he hath shortened the days." —Jesus, at Mark 13:20
 - ^ "And to them it was given that they should not kill them, but that they should be tormented five months: and their torment [was] as the torment of a scorpion, when he striketh a man." —Revelation 9:5
 - ^ "And they had tails like unto scorpions, and there were stings in their tails: and their power [was] to hurt men five months." —Revelation 9:10

Many credible Bible scholars conclude from these scriptures that the time of Satan's part of The Great Tribulation (the part that will come before the pouring out of Christ's wrath) has already been shortened from three and a half years to just five months. Should "the faithful" and "the elect" fear that subsequent time of God's wrath? No. And here's why:

- "For in the time of trouble he shall hide me in his pavilion: in the secret of his tabernacle shall he hide me; he shall set me up upon a rock." —Psalm 27:5
- "Much more then, being now justified by his blood, we shall be saved from wrath through him." —Paul, at Romans 5:9
- "...Jesus...delivered us from the wrath to come." —Paul, at 1 Thessalonians 1:10
- "For God hath not appointed us to wrath, but to obtain salvation by our Lord Jesus Christ." —Paul, at 1 Thessalonians 5:9

- > While it's true that only The Father knows the day and hour, Jesus clearly knows the precise point for His return, within His self-proclaimed 7-event final countdown.^ At Revelation 11:3-14, that precise timing is stated clearly: The Seventh (i.e.: LAST) Trump will sound at the 3rd Woe. That'll be exactly 3-1/2 days after the two witnesses are killed, and just after they are resurrected.
 - ^ Follow this link for more on that 7-event final countdown: www.dypk.org/documents/Appendix%207.pdf
- > "And Jesus said, **I AM** [*Sound familiar? It should.*]: and ye shall see the Son of man sitting on the right hand of power, and coming in the clouds [*correctly translated 'the CLOUD (singular);' i.e.: the same cloud that guided Moses and the Hebrews by day*] of heaven." —Jesus, at Mark 14:62

And someone you trust has actually convinced you that there'll be a resurrection of extra-special Christians BEFORE this last trump/trumpet of the seventh angel, the "first resurrection" of Revelation 20:6? Sorry, but there is no scripture and no collection of scriptures in the Bible to confirm such an incredible fantasy – much less support it. Aside from that, here's the logic: Those who follow Christ are, scripturally, in two groups—"the elect" and "the faithful."

If you're one of God's elect you'll be here, on Earth, until the bitter end of The Great Tribulation. If you're among "the faithful," you are clearly a notch or two lower than "the elect" on God's scale of favorite people. (The next article, "Who're the 'Elect' and Why Were They 'Elected'?" includes information that you must gain if you really want to understand all this.)

If "the elect" must ENDURE TO THE END^ **to OBTAIN their Salvation** (See 1 Thessalonians 5:9), what reasonably intelligent person could conclude that "the faithful" will be partying *up yonder* somewhere, while God's favorite people must stay here to endure the most difficult times ever?

- ^ "But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved." —Jesus, at Matthew 24:13 (also recorded at Mark 13:13)

Consider, also, the thousands of Middle Eastern and North African Christians who're currently being beaten, raped, sold into sex slavery, tortured, burned alive, drowned and beheaded: Do you suppose their preachers promised them they'd get raptured out'a here before all the really bad stuff starts happening? 'Tis arrogant to presume U.S. Christians to be, somehow, superior to all those good people: "...[It is] high time to awake out of sleep: for now [is] our salvation nearer than when we believed." —Paul, at Romans 13:1

- > "...Take heed that ye be not deceived: for many shall come in my name, saying, [that] **I AM** [*i.e.*: 'Jesus is Lord!']...; and the time draweth near [*e.g.*: 'He could split the eastern skies any day, now!']: go ye not therefore after them [*i.e.*: 'Don't follow them!']." —Jesus, at Luke 21:8

💧 Ears to Hear and Understand?

- > "Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine? [them that are] weaned from the milk, [and] drawn from the breasts. For precept [must be] upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line upon line; here a little, [and] there a little: —Isaiah 28:9,10
- > "What then? Israel hath not obtained that which he seeketh for; but the election hath obtained it, and the rest were blinded (According as it is written, God hath given them the spirit of slumber, eyes that they should not see, and ears that they should not hear;) unto this day." —Paul, at Romans 11:6-8

💧 Never Heard It Taught This Way?

Most of the members of our **Link1**^(TM) Bible Research Team (including me) had never heard it taught like this, either. It became apparent to us gradually—during our prayerful, scholarly research into the book of Genesis. Scriptures, there, led us to multiple other scriptures throughout the Bible. Each of those other scriptures further confirmed our early suspicions.

Satan's primary objective at Eden was to pollute the DNA of Eve's offspring so that Jesus could not be born as Adam's pure-bloodline direct descendant...to yield our Salvation. You may also justly conclude that the objective of Satan's generals and lieutenants, "the sons of God," at Genesis 6, was precisely the same. Their attempt failed, too. THAT was the reason for Noah's flood—to destroy them and their offspring.

💧 Why Is This Knowledge Truly Critical?

Without such knowledge, much of the rest of God's written word won't make sense. In fact, many Bible readers (and millions of unbelievers) think that God must be really cruel and harshly prejudiced against certain races of people. They focus on the times when He told the Hebrews to kill every man, woman and child and animal when conquering a city. Maybe you've wondered about that, too. You may know that the Hebrews did NOT follow God's instructions. The result is that the offspring of RECURRING visits of "the sons of God" are still the ruthless enemies of God's people, today. (See 1 Corinthians 11:10 to confirm that "sons of God" were present during the 1st Century.) And they'll be back.

💧 Where Can I Go To Learn More About All of This?

There is much more on this topic...whenever you're seriously ready to dig for it:

- > "STUDY to shew thymself approved unto God, a workman that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth." —Paul, at 2 Timothy 2:15

There may be opportunities to become active participants in our **Link1**^(TM) Bible Research Team for those who're really serious about "digging" for critical Bible knowledge and understanding. If that might include you, just follow this link to learn more about how our process works: www.dypk.org/documents/Appendix%203.pdf.

Here's a quick review of the main points you'll want to internalize from this article:

- 1 On Day 6, God created at least two human beings and possibly many more. They were both male and female.
- 2 The man, Adam, was the only human being that God created *after* His day of rest. Unlike the Day-6 people, Adam was alone at first. Then God created Eve for him—possibly from a rib of chromosome from Adam's DNA.
- 3 Two of the "trees" in the Garden of Eden weren't literal "trees." The tree of life was Jesus and the tree of knowledge of good and evil (and that snake) was Satan.
- 4 Satan seduced Eve and had sexual intercourse with her. Eve enjoyed the experience so much that she persuaded Adam to try it, too. He did. Cain was the son of Satan and Eve. Abel was the son of Adam and Eve.

5 Many of the ruthless enemies of Christianity, today, are descendants of recurring visits of "the sons of God."

Most churchgoers (and I think most of their preachers) are still hoping for a sensible answer to the question, "Who are the 'Elect' and why were they 'Elected'?" That's next.

An Addendum—

The "experts" of both science and religion have published lots and lots of misinformation about the age of our planet and about the history of mankind in the earth. We pray that the following will help to clear up some of the confusion that has resulted:

Prehistoric China—

<u>Approximate Year</u>	<u>Event</u>
780,000 BC	A Peking Man died in modern Zhoukoudian.
125,000-80,000 BC	Homo sapiens appeared in modern Dao County, Hunan.
20,000 BC	Pottery was used in Xianren Cave.
7,600 BC	The Zhenpiyan culture appeared. Pigs were first domesticated in China.
7,500 BC	The Pengtoushan culture appeared. Rice was first domesticated in China.
7,000 BC	The Peiligang culture appeared.
6,600 BC	The Jiahu symbols were first used at Jiahu.
6,500 BC	The Cishan culture appeared.
6,000 BC	Dogs were first domesticated in China. Cows were first domesticated in China.
5,000 BC	The Baijia culture appeared. Oxen were first domesticated in China. Sheep were first domesticated in China.
4,500 BC	The Hemudu culture died out.
4,000 BC	Symbols were carved into pottery at Banpo.
3,630 BC	Silk was invented by the Yangshao culture.

The above is from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_Chinese_history. You can find additional pertinent info at <http://www.ancient.eu/china/>.

T. C. Newsome

—a collaborative effort with several other members of our **Link1**TM Bible Research Team

